#### Paper presentation at ACML 2022 DALE: Differential Accumulated Local Effects for efficient and accurate global explanations

Vasilis Gkolemis<sup>1,2</sup> Theodore Dalamagas<sup>1</sup> Christos Diou<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ATHENA Research and Innovation Center

<sup>2</sup>Harokopio University of Athens

December 2022

ACML 2022

# eXplainable AI (XAI)

- Black-box model  $f(\cdot): \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ , trained on  $\mathcal{D}$
- XAI extracts interpretable properties:
  - $\rightarrow$  Tabular data Which features favor a prediction?
  - $\rightarrow\,$  Computer Vision Which image areas confuse the model?
  - $\rightarrow\,$  NLP Which words classified the comment as offensive?
- Categories:
  - ightarrow Global vs local
  - $\rightarrow$  Model-agnostic vs Model-specific
  - $\rightarrow$  Output? number, plot, instance etc.

Feature Effect: global, model-agnostic, outputs plot

## Feature Effect

 $y = f(x_s) \rightarrow \text{plot showing the effect of } x_s \text{ on the output } y$ 



Figure: Image taken from Interpretable ML book (Molnar, 2022)

Feature Effect is simple	and intuitive.	ㅁ › 《圊 › 《볼 › 《볼 ›	æ	৩৫৫
Gkolemis, Vasilis (ATH-HUA)	ACML 2022	December 2022		3 / 22

- $x_s \rightarrow$  feature of interest,  $x_c \rightarrow$  other features
- How to isolate x<sub>s</sub>??
- Difficult task:
  - features are correlated
  - f has learned complex interactions

#### Feature Effect Methods

- PDP (Friedman, 2001)
  - $f(x_s) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{x}_c}[f(x_s, \boldsymbol{x}_c)]$
  - Unrealistic instances

• e.g. 
$$f(x_{age} = 20, x_{years\_contraceptives} = 20) = ??$$

#### PDP vs MPlot vs ALE

Gkolemis, Vasilis (	ATH-HUA)
---------------------	----------

→ ∃ →

- N

## Feature Effect Methods

- PDP (Friedman, 2001)
  - $f(x_s) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_c}[f(x_s, \mathbf{x}_c)]$
  - Unrealistic instances
  - e.g.  $f(x_{age} = 20, x_{years\_contraceptives} = 20) = ??$
- MPlot (Apley and Zhu, 2020)
  - $\mathbf{x}_{c}|x_{s}: f(x_{s}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{c}|x_{s}}[f(x_{s}, \mathbf{x}_{c})]$
  - Aggregated effects
  - ▶ Real effect:  $x_{age} = 20 \rightarrow 10$ ,  $x_{years\_contraceptives} = 20 \rightarrow 10$
  - MPlot may assing 17 to both

#### PDP vs MPlot vs ALE

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Feature Effect Methods

- PDP (Friedman, 2001)
  - $f(x_s) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_c}[f(x_s, \mathbf{x}_c)]$
  - Unrealistic instances
  - e.g.  $f(x_{age} = 20, x_{years\_contraceptives} = 20) = ??$
- MPlot (Apley and Zhu, 2020)
  - $\mathbf{x}_{c}|x_{s}: f(x_{s}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_{c}|x_{s}}[f(x_{s}, \mathbf{x}_{c})]$
  - Aggregated effects
  - ▶ Real effect:  $x_{age} = 20 \rightarrow 10$ ,  $x_{years\_contraceptives} = 20 \rightarrow 10$
  - MPlot may assing 17 to both
- ALE(Apley and Zhu, 2020)

• 
$$f(x_s) = \int_{x_{min}}^{x_s} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}_c|z} [\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_s}(z, \mathbf{x}_c)] \partial z$$

Resolves both failure modes

PDP vs MPlot vs ALE

- ロ ト - (周 ト - (日 ト - (日 ト - )日

## ALE approximation

ALE definition:  $f(x_s) = \int_{x_{s,min}}^{x_s} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x_c}|z}[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_s}(z, \mathbf{x_c})]\partial z$ ALE approximation:  $f(x_s) = \sum_{k}^{k_x} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}_k|} \sum_{i: \mathbf{x}^i \in \mathcal{S}_k} \underbrace{[f(z_k, \mathbf{x}_c^i) - f(z_{k-1}, \mathbf{x}_c^i)]}_{\text{point effect}}$ bin effect 1.00 N1(5) N1(1) N1(2) N1(3) N1(4) 0.75 N 0.25 0.00 -Z2.1 Z6.1 x1

Figure: Image taken from Interpretable ML book (Molnar, 2022)

Gkolemis, Vasilis (ATH-HUA)

ACML 2022

December 2022

## ALE approximation - weaknesses

$$f(x_s) = \sum_{k}^{k_x} \underbrace{\frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}_k|} \sum_{i: \mathbf{x}^i \in \mathcal{S}_k} \underbrace{[f(z_k, \mathbf{x}_c^i) - f(z_{k-1}, \mathbf{x}_c^i)]}_{\text{point effect}}}_{\text{bin effect}}$$

#### Point Effect ⇒ evaluation at bin limits

- 2 evaluations of f per point  $\rightarrow$  slow
- change bin limits, pay again 2 \* N evaluations of  $f \rightarrow$  restrictive
- ▶ broad bins may create out of distribution (OOD) samples → not-robust in wide bins

#### ALE approximation has some weaknesses

# Our proposal: Differential ALE



#### Point Effect ⇒ evaluation on instances

- Fast  $\rightarrow$  use of auto-differentiation, all derivatives in a single pass
- $\blacktriangleright$  Versatile  $\rightarrow$  point effects computed once, change bins without cost
- Secure  $\rightarrow$  does not create artificial instances

#### For differentiable models, DALE resolves ALE weaknesses

Gkolemis,	Vasilis (	(ATH-HUA)	ł
-----------	-----------	-----------	---

#### DALE is faster and versatile - theory



- Faster
  - gradients wrt all features  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}^{i})$  in a single pass
  - auto-differentiation must be available (deep learning)
- Versatile
  - Change bin limits, with near zero computational cost

DALE is faster and allows redefining bin-limits

#### DALE is faster and versatile - Experiments



Figure: Light setup; small dataset ( $N = 10^2$  instances), light f. Heavy setup; big dataset ( $N = 10^5$  instances), heavy f

#### DALE considerably accelerates the estimation

Gkolemis, Va	silis (ATH	H-HUA)
--------------	------------	--------

ACML 2022

December 2022 10 / 22

#### DALE uses on-distribution samples - Theory



- point effect independent of bin limits
  - $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_s}(x_s^i, x_c^i)$  computed on real instances  $x^i = (x_s^i, x_c^i)$
- bin limits affect only the resolution of the plot
  - $\blacktriangleright$  wide bins  $\rightarrow$  low resolution plot, bin estimation from more points
  - $\blacktriangleright$  narrow bins  $\rightarrow$  high resolution plot, bin estimation from less points

DALE enables wide bins without creating out of distribution instances

### DALE uses on-distribution samples - Experiments

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 \pm g(x)$$
$$x_1 \in [0, 10], x_2 \sim x_1 + \epsilon, x_3 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$
$$f_{ALE}(x_1) = \frac{x_1^2}{2}$$

- point effects affected by (x<sub>1</sub>x<sub>3</sub>) (σ is large)
- bin estimation is noisy (samples are few)



Intuition: we need wider bins (more samples per bin)

ACML 2022

#### DALE vs ALE - 40 Bins



- $\bullet$  DALE: on-distribution, noisy bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation
- ALE: on-distribution, noisy bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation

Gkolemis, Vasilis (ATH-HUA)

ACML 2022

December 2022

#### DALE vs ALE - 40 Bins



- DALE: on-distribution, noisy bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation
- ALE: on-distribution, noisy bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation

Gkolemis, Vasilis (ATH-HUA)

ACML 2022

**H** December 2022 13/22

- N

< 行

### DALE vs ALE - 20 Bins



- $\bullet$  DALE: on-distribution, noisy bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation
- ALE: on-distribution, noisy bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation

Gkolemis, Vasilis (ATH-HUA)

ACML 2022

December 2022

### DALE vs ALE - 20 Bins



- $\bullet$  DALE: on-distribution, noisy bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation
- ALE: on-distribution, noisy bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation

Gkolemis, Vasilis (ATH-HUA)

ACML 2022

December 2022 14 / 22

< A

### DALE vs ALE - 10 Bins



- DALE: on-distribution, noisy bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation
- ALE: starts being OOD, noisy bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation

Gkolemis, Vasilis (ATH-HUA)

ACML 2022

December 2022

### DALE vs ALE - 10 Bins



- $\bullet$  DALE: on-distribution, noisy bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation
- ALE: starts being OOD, noisy bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation

Gkolemis, Vasilis (ATH-HUA)

ACML 2022

December 2022 15 / 22

< □ > < 凸

### DALE vs ALE - 5 Bins



- DALE: on-distribution, robust bin effect  $\rightarrow$  good estimation
- ALE: completely OOD, robust bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation

Gkolemis, Vasilis (ATH-HUA)

ACML 2022

December 2022

### DALE vs ALE - 5 Bins



- DALE: on-distribution, robust bin effect  $\rightarrow$  good estimation
- ALE: completely OOD, robust bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation

Gkolemis, Vasilis (ATH-HUA)

ACML 2022

December 2022 16 / 22

ヨト イヨト

< □ > < @ >

### DALE vs ALE - 3 Bins



- $\bullet$  DALE: on-distribution, robust bin effect  $\rightarrow$  good estimation
- ALE: completely OOD, robust bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation

Gkolemis, Vasilis (ATH-HUA)

ACML 2022

December 2022

### DALE vs ALE - 3 Bins



- DALE: on-distribution, robust bin effect  $\rightarrow$  good estimation
- ALE: completely OOD, robust bin effect  $\rightarrow$  poor estimation

Gkolemis, Vasilis (ATH-HUA)

ACML 2022

December 2022 17 / 22

A B A A B A

< □ > < @ >

- Bike-sharing dataset(Fanaee-T and Gama, 2013)
- $y \rightarrow$  daily bike rentals
- x : 10 features, most of them characteristics of the weather

	Number of Features										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
DALE	1.17	1.19	1.22	1.24	1.27	1.30	1.36	1.32	1.33	1.37	1.39
ALE	0.85	1.78	2.69	3.66	4.64	5.64	6.85	7.73	8.86	9.9	10.9

Efficiency on Bike-Sharing Dataset (Execution Times in seconds)

DALE requires almost same time for all features

#### Real Dataset Experiments - Accuracy

- Difficult to compare in real world datasets
- We do not know the ground-truth effect
- In most features, DALE and ALE agree.
- Only  $X_{\text{hour}}$  is an interesting feature



Figure: (Left) DALE (Left) and ALE (Right) plots for  $K = \{25, 50, 100\}$ 

- Could we automatically decide the optimal bin sizes?
  - Sometimes narrow bins are ok
  - Sometimes wide bins are needed
- What about variable size bins?
- Model the uncertainty of the estimation?

DALE can be a driver for future work

# Thank you

#### • Questions?

3

### References I

Apley, Daniel W. and Jingyu Zhu (2020). "Visualizing the effects of predictor variables in black box supervised learning models". In: Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B: Statistical *Methodology* 82.4, pp. 1059–1086. ISSN: 14679868. DOI: 10.1111/rssb.12377. arXiv: 1612.08468. Fanaee-T, Hadi and Joao Gama (2013). "Event labeling combining ensemble detectors and background knowledge". In: Progress in Artificial Intelligence, pp. 1–15. ISSN: 2192-6352. DOI: 10.1007/s13748-013-0040-3. URL: [WebLink]. Friedman, Jerome H. (2001). "Greedy function approximation: A gradient boosting machine". In: Annals of Statistics 29.5, pp. 1189–1232. ISSN: 00905364. DOI: 10.1214/aos/1013203451. Molnar, Christoph (2022). Interpretable Machine Learning. A Guide for Making Black Box Models Explainable. 2nd ed. URL: https://christophm.github.io/interpretable-ml-book.

Image: A math a math